

Easterling, Deborah

232994

From: Easterling, Deborah
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 2:17 PM
To: 'Jack Wilson'
Subject: RE: Letter of Protest

Dear Mr. Wilson,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your email to the Public Service Commission. I am forwarding your email and Letter of Protest to our Clerk's Office for processing. Your email and Letter of Protest will become a part of Docket No. 2007-286-WS and will be posted on our website under this docket.

Please let me know if you should require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Administrative Assistant

From: Jack Wilson [<mailto:jackwils@bellsouth.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 12:04 PM
To: Contact
Cc: mirwils@bellsouth.net
Subject: Letter of Protest

Attached is my letter of protest regarding docket #2007-286-WS

Jack N. Wilson
800 Yates Circle
Anderson, SC 29621

* Required Fields

Letter of Protest

Date: * October 18, 2011

in Docket * _____ - _____ - _____

Print

Email

Protestant Information:

Name * Jack N. Wilson

Mailing Address * 800 Yates Circle

City, State Zip * Anderson, SC 29621 Phone * 864-222-0061

E-mail _____

1. What is your connection or interest in this case? * For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

I am a customer of the Utilities Services of SC living in the Hill and Dale subdivision.

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. * (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

My review of Exhibit WJM-7, "Water Production vs. Water Sold" - 2006 (presented in the direct testimony of Willie J. Morgan from the Office of Regulatory Staff, docket no. 2007-286-WS) indicates numbers which are questionable. It appears that in 2006 our sub division was charged for 1,147,094 gallons more than the 3,298,900 gallons stated as "produced". I understand that "water produced" is the actual number of gallons Utilities Services purchased from Hammond Water that must pass through the master meter. If that is true, then why the "unaccounted for" water. Are meters being misread? Is there, perhaps, an alternate water source? We are a "pass-through" water customer and should be charged only for the water going through the master meter. I understand, also, that our water comes from Hartwell Lake and then to Hammond Water via Anderson Regional, then sold to Utilities Services. From the numbers in the exhibit, it appears that there is something very wrong here. As a customer, I believe that this should be investigated and corrected before Utilities Services is approved for a rate increase.

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding, if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? * (This section should be completed.)

No, I do not wish to attend the hearing.